



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,905	01/26/2004	Sang Hun Oh	PIA31205/ANS/US	2660
36872 THE LAW OF	7590 09/27/2007 FICES OF ANDREW D.	EXAMINER		
401 W FALLB	ROOK AVE STE 204	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SULLIVAN, CALEEN O	
FRESNO, CA 93711-5835			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/764,905	OH, SANG HUN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Caleen O. Sullivan	1756	

	Caleen O. Sullivan	1730	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		TE below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant / interiorient (1 102-02-1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
:			
:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner is of the position that the combination of references do teach and or suggest all the limitations of claims 1-5 and 7-8. Examiner appreciates Applicant's arguments regarding the deficiencies of each individual reference; however, in understanding the deficiencies of each reference individually Examiner combined them as a rejection under 35 USC 103(a). Examiner also maintains that the reference Furukawa does teach a structure according to the recitations of Applicant in claim 1. Furthermore, Examiner is of the position that although Furukawa does not teach the etching of the lower metal line using a second resist, Wells cures this deficiency by providing a teaching where more than one resist layer is used to etch material layers on a substrate. This disclosure in Wells, teaches and or suggests a second etch step using a second resist layer. Therefore, the combination of Furukawa and Wells, which both teach and or suggest a structure as recited by Applicant in claim 1, teach and or suggest etching a lower metal layer using a second resist layer.

MARK F HIFF

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700